## PROGRAMMED MOTION WITH HOMOGENEITY ASSUMPTIONS

G.  $BOZIS^1$  and M.-C.  $ANISIU^2$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Thessaloniki, GR-54006, Greece E-mail: gbozis@auth.gr

<sup>2</sup> T. Popoviciu Institute of Numerical Analysis, Romanian Academy, PO Box 68, 400110 Cluj-Napoca, Romania E-mail: mira@math.ubbcluj.ro

Abstract. We consider the problem: Given a planar region  $T_{orb}$  described by one inequality  $g(x,y) \leq c_0$ , find the potentials V = V(x,y) which can generate monoparametric families of orbits f(x,y) = c (also to be found) lying exclusively in the region  $T_{orb}$ . We make assumptions on the homogeneity of both the function g(x,y) describing the boundary of the region  $T_{orb}$  and of the slope function  $\gamma(x,y) = f_y/f_x$  of the required family. We show that, under certain conditions, the slope function  $\gamma(x,y)$  can be obtained as the common solution of two algebraic equations. The theoretical results are illustrated by an example.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Monoparametric families of orbits f(x, y) = c, which are produced by a given potential V(x, y) and which have 'slope function'  $\gamma(x, y) = f_y/f_x$ , satisfy the second order nonlinear PDE (Bozis 1995)

$$\gamma^{2}\gamma_{xx} - 2\gamma\gamma_{xy} + \gamma_{yy} = \frac{-(\gamma\gamma_{x} - \gamma_{y})}{V_{x} + \gamma V_{y}} (\gamma_{x}V_{x} - (2\gamma\gamma_{x} - 3\gamma_{y})V_{y} - \gamma(V_{xx} - V_{yy}) - (\gamma^{2} - 1)V_{xy}), \quad (1)$$

where the subscripts denote partial derivatives. Families of straight lines, for which it is  $\gamma \gamma_x - \gamma_y = 0$  and  $V_x + \gamma V_y = 0$  (Bozis & Anisiu 2001), are excluded from our study.

The inequality (Bozis & Ichtiaroglou 1994)

$$B(x,y) \ge 0,\tag{2}$$

where

$$B(x,y) = \frac{V_x + \gamma V_y}{-(\gamma \gamma_x - \gamma_y)},\tag{3}$$

determines the region  $T_{orb}$  of the xy plane where the potential V(x, y) creates real orbits or real parts of the orbits belonging to the family  $\gamma(x, y)$ .

Conversely, we can select a specific region  $T_{orb}$  of the xy plane which we want to make the exclusive allowed region for certain unknown families created by an unknown potential.

We restrict ourselves to regions which are described by one inequality, say

$$b(x,y) \ge 0,\tag{4}$$

and impose the condition that the function B(x, y) (corresponding to the pair  $(V, \gamma)$ ) defines the same region (2) as the inequality (4) does. We interpret this by stating that there must exist a *nonvanishing* function  $\Theta(x, y)$ , in a region  $T_0$  broader from the region  $T_{orb}$ , such that

$$B(x,y) = b(x,y)\Theta(x,y),$$
(5)

$$\Theta(x,y) \ge 0 \text{ for } (x,y) \in T_0 \text{ and } \Theta(x,y) \ne \infty,$$
(6)

where  $\tilde{\Theta}(x, y)$  denotes the (one-variable) function  $\Theta(x, y)$  evaluated at the points of the curve b(x, y) = 0.

Bozis (1996) solved the problem of finding the force fields which produce a given family of orbits in a fixed in advance region, and Anisiu & Bozis (2000) considered the conservative case for the families f(x, y) = y - H(x) and a given region.

### 2. BASIC PROGRAMMED MOTION PROBLEM

The function B satisfies the second order linear equation (Bozis 1995, Anisiu 2003)

$$-B_{xx} + k^* B_{xy} + B_{yy} = \lambda^* B_x + \mu^* B_y + \nu^* B,$$
(7)

$$k^* = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{\gamma}, \quad \lambda^* = \frac{\gamma_x + 2\gamma\gamma_y}{\gamma}, \\ \mu^* = \frac{2\gamma\gamma_x - 3\gamma_y}{\gamma}, \quad \nu^* = \frac{2(\gamma_x\gamma_y - \gamma_{yy} + \gamma\gamma_{xy})}{\gamma}.$$
(8)

The first partial derivatives of V are related to B by

$$V_x = -B(\gamma \gamma_x - \gamma_y) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma (B_y - \gamma B_x), \quad V_y = -\frac{1}{2}(B_y - \gamma B_x).$$
(9)

Remark If  $\gamma$  is homogeneous of degree zero, then so is  $k^*$ , whereas  $\lambda^*, \mu^*$  are of degree -1 and  $\nu^*$  of degree -2. If B(x, y) is weighted homogeneous of degrees e.g.  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , then the entire equation (7) will lead to a weighted homogeneous expression of degrees  $n_1 - 2$  and  $n_2 - 2$ .

We suppose that a region is given by the unique inequality (4). The *basic programmed motion problem* is: What families can be created in the given region (4) and which potentials do generate them? We introduce the function B(x, y), as given by (5), into the equation (7) and we obtain the linear in  $\Theta$  PDE

$$b\left(-\Theta_{xx} + K\Theta_{xy} + \Theta_{yy}\right) = L\Theta_x + M\Theta_y + N\Theta,\tag{10}$$

where

$$K = k^*, L = \lambda^* b + 2b_x - k^* b_y, M = b\mu^* - k^* b_x - 2b_y,$$
  

$$N = \nu^* b + \lambda^* b_x + \mu^* b_y + b_{xx} - k^* b_{xy} - b_{yy}.$$
(11)

# **3. HOMOGENEITY ASSUMPTIONS**

The remark in the preceding section shows that the problem becomes simpler if the functions are homogeneous, therefore we suppose that:

(i) The allowed region is given by (4), where

$$b = c_0 - x^m b_0(z), \quad z = \frac{y}{x}, \quad b_0 \neq 0.$$
 (12)

(ii) The slope function  $\gamma$  is homogeneous of degree zero, i.e.

$$\gamma = \gamma(z). \tag{13}$$

(iii) The function  $\Theta$  is also homogeneous of degree k, i.e.

$$\Theta(x,y) = x^k \Theta_0(z), \quad \Theta_0 \neq 0.$$
(14)

Then, equation (10) becomes

$$R_1 x^k + R_2 x^{m+k} = 0. (15)$$

Both  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  must vanish identically, resulting in a system of two ODEs of the form

$$2\Theta_0(z\gamma + 1)\ddot{\gamma} + 2\Theta_0 z\dot{\gamma}^2 + k_1\dot{\gamma} + k_0 = 0$$
(16)

$$2b_0\Theta_0(z\gamma+1)\ddot{\gamma} + 2b_0\Theta_0z\dot{\gamma}^2 + m_1\dot{\gamma} + m_0 = 0,$$
(17)

where  $k_1, m_1$  are linear in  $\Theta_0$  and  $\dot{\Theta}_0$ , and  $k_0, m_0$  in  $\Theta_0, \dot{\Theta}_0$  and  $\ddot{\Theta}_0$ .

Our hypotheses  $(b_0 \neq 0, \Theta_0 \neq 0$  and straight lines excluded) assure that

$$b_0 \Theta_0(1+\gamma z) \neq 0, \tag{18}$$

therefore the equations (16) and (17) are equivalent to

$$\dot{\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma_2 \gamma^2 + \Gamma_1 \gamma + \Gamma_0}{\Delta_1 \gamma + \Delta_0}, \quad 2(1 + \gamma z)\ddot{\gamma} + 2z\dot{\gamma}^2 + K_1\dot{\gamma} + K_0 = 0, \tag{19}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{2} = \Gamma_{00} + \Gamma_{01}w, \quad \Gamma_{1} = \Gamma_{10} + \Gamma_{11}w, \quad \Gamma_{0} = -\Gamma_{2}$$
(20)

$$\Gamma_{00} = (1 - k - m)r + z(r + r^{2}), \quad \Gamma_{01} = 2zr - m$$
  

$$\Gamma_{10} = m(1 - 2k - m) - 2(1 - k - m)zr + (1 - z^{2})(\dot{r} + r^{2})$$
  

$$\Gamma_{11} = 2(r + mz - rz^{2}), \quad (21)$$

$$\Delta_1 = 2(m - 2rz), \quad \Delta_0 = rz^2 - mz - 3r; \tag{22}$$

$$K_1 = K_{11}\gamma + K_{10}, \quad K_0 = K_{02}\gamma^2 + K_{01}\gamma + K_{00},$$
 (23)

$$K_{11} = 4zw + 2(1-k), \quad K_{10} = -(z^2 - 3)w + kz$$

$$K_{22} = (1-k)w + z(w + w^2)$$
(24)

$$K_{02} = (1-k)w + z(w+w^2)$$
  

$$K_{01} = k(1-k) - 2z(1-k)w + (1-z^2)(\dot{w}+w^2)$$
  

$$K_{00} = -(1-k)w - z(\dot{w}+w^2),$$
(25)

with

$$\dot{\Theta}_0 = w\Theta_0, \quad \dot{b}_0 = rb_0. \tag{26}$$

We consider  $m, r = \dot{b}_0/b_0$ ,  $c_0$  (i.e. the function b given by (12)) as known and we try to make compatible the two equations (19). In so doing, we prepare  $\ddot{\gamma}$  from the first of equations (19), insert into the second one and obtain the quintic in  $\gamma$  algebraic equation

$$\alpha_5\gamma^5 + \alpha_4\gamma^4 + \alpha_3\gamma^3 + \alpha_2\gamma^2 + \alpha_1\gamma + \alpha_0 = 0, \qquad (27)$$

where the coefficients  $\alpha_5, \alpha_4, ..., \alpha_0$  are functions of z, and of w and its derivative of the first order.

We now differentiate (27) in z and we obtain  $\dot{\gamma}$  which we equate to  $\dot{\gamma}$  given by the first of equations (19), and get

$$\beta_6 \gamma^6 + \beta_5 \gamma^5 + \beta_4 \gamma^4 + \beta_3 \gamma^3 + \beta_2 \gamma^2 + \beta_1 \gamma + \beta_0 = 0, \qquad (28)$$

where the coefficients  $\beta_6, \beta_5, ..., \beta_0$  are functions of z, and of  $w, \dot{w}, \ddot{w}$ . We are interested in the common roots of the equations (27) and (28) and this leads us to the eleventh order Sylvester determinant which is an ODE in w of the second order.

We have to analyze also the case when

$$\Delta_1 \gamma + \Delta_0 = 0. \tag{29}$$

If  $\Gamma_2 \gamma^2 + \Gamma_1 \gamma + \Gamma_0 \neq 0$ , the first of equations (19), hence the considered problem, has no solution. If  $\Gamma_2 \gamma^2 + \Gamma_1 \gamma + \Gamma_0 = 0$ , we express  $\gamma$  from (29) and substitute it in the second equation in (19). We obtain a solution for our problem if we can find a function w which gives a suitable  $\Theta$ .

#### 4. EXAMPLE

Let us try to find families of orbits and the corresponding potentials creating them in the region

$$y \le 1. \tag{30}$$

We can write

$$b(x,y) = 1 - y,$$
 (31)

hence

$$m = 1, b_0(z) = z \text{ and } c_0 = 1.$$
 (32)

We can now verify that, with k = 2, the Sylvester determinant of (27) and (28) (which for the case at hand are of degree four and five) admits of a solution  $\Theta_0(z) = z^2/2$ , which gives

$$\Theta(x,y) = y^2/2. \tag{33}$$

According to (5), (31) and (33), we find

$$B(x,y) = 8y^2(1-y).$$
(34)



Figure 1: Curves of the family  $x^2y = c$  in the region (30) for  $c_1 = 0.002$ ,  $c_2 = 0.0045$ and  $c_3 = 0.008$ 

Equations (27) and (28) have the common solution  $\gamma = 1/(2z)$ , and from (9) we get the Hénon-Heiles type potential

$$V(x,y) = -x^2 - 4y^2 + 4y^3.$$
(35)

The potential (35) generates the family of curves  $f(x, y) = x^2 y$ , traced in the region (30).

### Acknowledgments

The work of the second author was financially supported by the scientific program 2CEEX0611-96 of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research.

#### References

- Anisiu, M.-C.: 2003, Analysis and Optimization of Differential Systems, eds. V. Barbu et al., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston/Dordrecht/London, 13.
- Anisiu, M.-C., Bozis, G.: 2000, Inverse Problems, 16, 19.
- Bozis, G.: 1995, *Inverse Problems*, **11**, 687.
- Bozis, G.: 1996, Proceedings of the 2nd Hellenic Astronomical Conference, eds. M. E. Kontadakis et al., Thessaloniki, Greece, 587.

Bozis, G., Anisiu, M.-C.: 2001, Rom. Astron. J., 11, 27.

Bozis, G., Ichtiaroglou, S.: 1994, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron., 58, 371.